

Paul warned the Church of Ephesus that at his departure “savage wolves will come in among you, not sparing the flock; and **from among your own selves** men will arise, speaking perverse things to draw away the disciples after them.” (Acts 20:29-30) Just before warning the Colossians of the Monkish and Ascetic influence in the early gentile Churches in Asia Minor he says “See to it that there is no one who takes you captive through philosophy...” (Col. 2:8) Again, Paul warns the Church at Corinth, “For since in the wisdom of God the world through its wisdom did not come to know God.” (1 Cor. 1:21) Yet the early Church Fathers maintained the exact **opposite** with their Logos Theology. They claimed that the gentile people were being prepared to receive the Messiah by the Greek Philosophers and that the “spermatic logos” of God was working through the Greek Philosophers! (Justin Martyr, *Second Apology*, c. 10, 13, Aquinas, *Commentary on John*, Chapter I, Lecture 4) This Perennial Natural Law theory was developed by the Christian Perennial Philosopher Ammonius Saccas with his Neoplatonism which has to this day been the default ideology of every government, church, and school of science in the west. Tertullian continued this Perennialism when he defended the Early Fathers’ notion of Angelic Celibacy. In his *To His Wife* 1.6, he says that the ancient Pagan practice of celibacy for the priesthood should be adopted and championed by Christians. The Antichrist doctrine of Angelic Celibacy and Celibacy for the Priesthood predicted by Paul in 1 Tim. 4:1-4 and Col. 2:20-23, is clearly taught by the “Early Church Fathers” and recorded in Tertullian, *To His Wife* 1.6, Epiphanius, *Panarion*, Haer., 59, 4, Jerome, *Against Jovinianus*, I. 34, Gregory of Nyssa, *On Virginity*, Chapter 10, Athanasius, *Apologia ad Constantium*, 33, Ambrose, *Concerning Virginity*, Book II, c. 3, 19-20, Council of Elvira, Canon 13, Council of Ancyra, Canon 10, and 19, pace Gratian’s Decretum. In 364 A.D. the civil law, under Valens, declared that anyone who married a consecrated virgin was subject to the death penalty.

This blatant synchronization with Paganism is also seen in the Catholic Rosary which is simply an embellishment on Buddhist prayer beads. The “Early Church Fathers,” via, their connection with Alexandria, Egypt, known for its ancient trade with India, adopted the Theological beliefs and practices of the ancient Buddhists. Satan, seeing his outward Polytheistic Religion conquered by the body of Christ, now championed and focused his strategy on the Ascetic and abstract religion of the ancient Pagan Monks. The Church Fathers, in their sexual derangement and obsession with mental introspection were more than willing participants.

The Apostles’ regular order (1 Tim. 3, Tit. 1:5-10) is that a Bishop is to have a wife and children and he is to keep them well. His virtue is displayed in having a wife and children, not abstaining from them. Paul says “Do we not have a right to take along a believing wife, even as the rest of the apostles and the brothers of the Lord and Cephas?” (1 Cor 9:5) And as we saw above from 1 Tim. 4, Paul explicitly fingers the prohibition to marry as the definitive mark of the Antichrist religion. (Clement agrees in *Stromata*, Book 3, sec. 45)

Now let us consider the pertinent scriptures on this topic:

1 Cor. 7: 1 *Now concerning the things about which you wrote, it is good for a man not to touch a woman.*

Notice he says the phrase *It is good for a man not to touch a woman*, was a phrase written to him by the Corinthians. *It is good for a man not to touch a woman* is not Paul’s teaching. He is simply quoting what the letter said that was written to him. Next, the Christian interpretation of 1 Cor. 7 is in direct contradiction to Gen. 1:22 and 28 to be “fruitful and multiply” and 2:18 “It is not good for the man to be alone; I will make him a helper suitable for him.” Yet the Christian tradition has taught the exact opposite.

It glorifies the Monastic and Ascetic life which is traditional in Pagan cultures. Paul says in 1 Cor. 7,

“26 I think then that this is good in view of the present distress, that it is good for a man to remain as he is. 27 Are you bound to a wife? Do not seek to be released. Are you released from a wife? Do not seek a wife. 28 But if you marry, you have not sinned; and if a virgin marries, she has not sinned. Yet such will have trouble in this life, and I am trying to spare you. 29 But this I say, brethren, the time has been shortened...”

You see, the Corinthian believers were under persecution and were constantly in need of retreat. It would have been difficult for a man to flee persecution while healing from circumcision or with a wife and small children. Paul’s prescriptions in this chapter were specifically for this period and not general rules for all believers in all times. Yet, the Antichrist Church Fathers have a reply. Tertullian says that the Genesis command to be “fruitful and multiply” is abolished in the New Covenant because it is a more mature covenant, receiving its maturity from the Patristic traditions and innovations, pace the Logos Theology that permeated this era. (Tertullian, *Against Marcion*, Book I, c. 29) John of Damascus uses the same Logos Theology to abrogate the 2nd commandment against Image Worship. (*Exposition of the Orthodox Faith*, Book 4.16, *St. John of Damascene on Holy Images* trans. Mary Allies 1898, pgs. 8-9)

Logos Theology, indistinguishable from Hermeticism, was the inspiring influence behind the Early Church Fathers. Logos Theology is the metaphysic behind the woke SJW movements today. The same way the early Christians abrogated the command to be fruitful and multiply, and the command against Image Worship, the modern Progressivists, operating on the exact same Theology, have abrogated the command at the tower of Babel to disperse into distinct Nation States, the family sexual structure, slavery, and now

have their sights set on the institution of Government itself. The essence of Early Christianity and the Woke movement today is Hermeticism, what they call Theosis, the evolution into Godhood, pace, Gen. 3:5.

1 Cor. 7:25 Now concerning virgins I have no command of the Lord, but I give an opinion as one who by the mercy of the Lord is trustworthy.

Yet, according to Cyprian of Carthage and the Antichrist Church Fathers, Paul handed down traditions, orally, concerning virgins! Papists and Orthodox love to quote:

2 Thessalonians 2:15 Therefore, brethren, stand fast, and hold the traditions which ye have been taught, whether by word, or our epistle.

Angelic Virginity and Clerical Celibacy were supposed to be one of these oral traditions, in complete contrast to 1 Cor. 7:25.

Mat 22:25 Now there were with us seven brethren: and the first, when he had married a wife, deceased, and, having no issue, left his wife unto his brother: 26 Likewise the second also, and the third, unto the seventh. 27 And last of all the woman died also. 28 Therefore in the resurrection whose wife shall she be of the seven? for they all had her. 29 Jesus answered and said unto them, Ye do err, not knowing the scriptures, nor the power of God. 30 "For in the resurrection they neither marry nor are given in marriage, but are like angels in heaven."

Christians maintain that this passage is their Magna Carta for Angelic Celibacy. They make this mistake because they do not understand the law system that Yeshua is operating off of. Marriage is the Dowry. A Dowry is money paid by the groom or his father to the bride and her family. (Gen. 24:10, 22, 47, 53, 29:18, 30:20, 31:14-15, 34:12, Exo. 21:9, 22:16-17, Deut. 22:28-29, 1 Sam. 18:25-27) This is the primary difference between a wife and a concubine. The wife had a right

of the Dowry and the concubine did not. This money was given to the bride or her family for the financial security of the wife and her children. Marriage is for financial and hereditary security going into the future. That does not apply in the resurrection because there will be no more children born. Thus, there will be no marriage in the resurrection because there is no need for financial and hereditary security. In the resurrection, everyone is immortal and no one bears children. If there is a true bodily resurrection, there must be sexual organs, and thus sex present. We know there will be feasting and normal bodily functions from Isa. 25:6-8 and Matthew 8:11.

Finally, the "Early Church Fathers" were very clear about their Hermeticism from their doctrine of Apotheosis: "For He was made man that we might be made God..." (Athanasius, *On the Incarnation*, c. 54, 3) Yehezkel Kaufmann, in his, *The Religion of Israel*, page 77 et al., demonstrates that no Israelite before the time of the Antichrist Church Fathers ever considered the idea of Apotheosis and always considered the notion nothing but Paganism and the deception of Satan in the Garden of Eden:

Gen. 3:5 For God doth know that in the day ye eat thereof, then your eyes shall be opened, and ye shall be as gods, knowing good and evil.

The Orthodox will exclaim that they do not claim to participate in God's essence, only his energies, yet this is a distinction without a difference as Satan never said in Gen. 3:5 that you will participate in God's essence only "ye shall be as gods"!

For more information you can visit my website southernprotestant.com or email me at southernmessianics@gmail.com.

Why You Should Reject the Early Church Fathers

1 Tim. 4:1 But the Spirit explicitly says that in later times some will fall away from the faith, paying attention to deceitful spirits and teachings of demons, 2 by means of **the hypocrisy of liars seared in their own conscience** as with a branding iron, 3 **who forbid marriage and advocate abstaining from foods** which God has created to be gratefully shared in by those who believe and know the truth.

Col. 2:20 If you have died with Christ to the elementary principles of the world, why, as if you were living in the world, do you submit yourself to decrees, such as, 21 **"Do not handle, do not taste, do not touch!"** 22 (which all refer to things destined to perish with use)—in accordance with **the commandments and teachings of man?** 23 These are matters which do have the appearance of wisdom in self-made religion and **humility and severe treatment of the body**, but are of no value against fleshly indulgence.

southernprotestant.com